

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/048,130	05/09/2002	Hideo Yoshizawa	8373.262USWO	2854
23552 7	7590 07/01/2004		EXAM	INER
MERCHANT & GOULD PC			STRIMBU, GREGORY J	
P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3634	
			DATE MAILED: 07/01/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/048,130	YOSHIZAWA, HIDEO
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Gregory J. Strimbu	3634
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wi	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT: - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati: - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days: - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory: - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ron. The areply within the statutory minimum of third period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	reply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	14 April 2004.	
·— ·	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for al	llowance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice ur	nder <i>Ex par</i> te <i>Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the applica	tion.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	thdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the o	correction is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority docu	ıments have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docu	iments have been received in A	Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	e priority documents have been	received in this National Stage
application from the International E	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	

Attachment(s)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/26/04.

4) [Interview Summary (PTO-413)
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date
5)	Notice of Informal Patent Applic
6) [Other: .

Application (PTO-152)

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Application/Control Number: 10/048,130

Art Unit: 3634

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Keys et al. Keys et al. discloses a bi-directionally curved vehicle window pane 14 for slidable attachment to a motor vehicle, characterized in that the vehicle window pane has an arcuately curved vertical section as shown in figure 2 having, at every position thereof, a same radius of curvature that corresponds to a radius of curvature of a predetermined sliding movement path followed by the vehicle window pane, and a curved lateral section as shown in figure 3 having a same radius of curvature at every position thereof, wherein the vertical section is a section along a plane parallel to the predetermined sliding movement path while the lateral section is a section along a plane intersecting the predetermined sliding movement path substantially at right angles thereto, the curvature of the curved vertical section and the curvature of the curved lateral section are different from each other, and guide rails 32 and 34.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Keys et al. as applied to claims 1, 2, 4 and 5 above, and further in view of Sakai et al. Sakai et al. disclose a vehicle window glass having a compound curvature R1, R2, R3.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide Keys et al. with a compound curvature, as taught by Sakai et al., in the lateral section to more accurately match the outer contour of the vehicle.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Keys et al. as applied to claims 1, 2, 4 and 5 above, and further in view of Sakai et al. Sakai et al. discloses a door body 24, 25 having an attachment space (not numbered, but seen in figure 6) and a pocket opening (not numbered, but seen in figure 6) and a window regulator 23, 27, 29, 30 and 31.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide Keys et al. with a door construction, as taught by Sakai et al., to ensure the proper movement of the window pane into and out of the door.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed April 14, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to the applicant's comments concerning Keys et al., the examiner respectfully disagrees. It should be noted that claim 1 only requires that a section of the

Application/Control Number: 10/048,130

Art Unit: 3634

window pane have at every position thereof the same radius of curvature. See lines 3-4 of claim 1. Therefore, even if Keys et al. fails to disclose a single radius of curvature over the entire width or height thereof, Keys et al. clearly shows a section of the width and height that has a constant radius of curvature.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory J. Strimbu whose telephone number is 703-305-3979. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:00 to 4:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/048,130 Page 5

Art Unit: 3634

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on 703-308-2486. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gregory J. Strimbu

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3634 June 28, 2004